

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Mon, Apr 29, 2013 at 10:38 PM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: RE: Resubmission of manuscript number 2013/IJBcRR/3847

Thank you for your correspondence in relation to our previous article submission (below). We have revised our manuscript according to the comments made by Reviewers 1 and 2. I note that the comments by Reviewer 3 were sent to us late and, whilst we have taken the comments by this reviewer into consideration, these comments largely represent opinions rather than a useful critique. In fact, they represent a rather biased opinion and are clearly at a polar opposite to those made by Reviewers 1 and 2.

That said, we did find the comments made by Reviewers 1 and 2 fair and useful and we feel that our responses to these comments have enabled us to improve the manuscript considerably. **Two things that you should note in particular are the facts that the title of the paper has changed to more accurately represent the data findings and that we have added two additional authors who conducted the additional experimental work presented.**

Thus, whilst we would like to thank ALL the reviewers for their time and have responded to all three reviewers we would ask that you pay particular attention to the more useful comments made by the first two reviewers.

Unfortunately I can't seem to make a formal resubmission via your web pages so I have attached all the responses to the reviewers comments and the revised manuscript (with changes highlighted in yellow as requested) to this e-mail. Please let me know if this is sufficient.

Thank you once again for taking the time to consider our data for publication in your Journal.

Note: Modification was done in this email ONLY to hide the identity.